The Cosmic Concordance



The Cosmic Concordance



Supernovae alone \Rightarrow Accelerating expansion $\Rightarrow \Lambda > 0$ CMB alone \Rightarrow Flat universe $\Rightarrow \Lambda > 0$ Any two of SN, CMB, LSS \Rightarrow Dark energy ~70%

Also in agreement with the age estimates (globular clusters, nucleocosmochronology, white dwarfs)

Estimating Cosmological Parameters

- Many observables depend on complicated combinations of individual cosmological parameters; this is especially true for the analysis of CMB experiments
- Thus, one really gets probability contours or distributions in a multi-dimensional parameter space, which can then be projected on any given parameter axis
- Generally this entails a very laborious and computationally intensive parameter estimation
- It helps if one can declare some of the parameters to be fixed *a priori*, on the basis of our knowledge or prejudices, e.g., "We'll assume that the universe is flat", or "we'll assume the value of H₀ from the HST Key Project", etc.

Examples of probability 0.8 distributions 0.4 for various 0.2 cosmological 1 parameters, 0.6 from a joint 0.4 analysis of 0 WMAP, SDSS, 1 and other data 0.6

(Tegmark et al.)















The Cosmic Concordance



Supernovae alone \Rightarrow Accelerating expansion $\Rightarrow \Lambda > 0$ CMB alone \Rightarrow Flat universe $\Rightarrow \Lambda > 0$ Any two of SN, CMB, LSS \Rightarrow Dark energy ~70%

Also in agreement with the age estimates (globular clusters, nucleocosmochronology, white dwarfs)

This is Not Exactly New ... Nature Vol. 257 October 9 1975



An accelerating Universe

James E. Gunn*

Hale Observatories, California Institute of Technology, Carnegie Institution of Washington, Pasadena, California 91125

Beatrice M. Tinsley*†

B. Tinsley, Nature Vol. 273 18 May 1978 **Accelerating Universe revisited**

They were driven to this conclusion by the combination of data on the Hubble constant, ages of globular clusters, Hubble diagram, and density measurements ... just like today

For the next 20 years, cosmological constant was invoked mainly as a means to solve the apparent conflict between the ages of globular clusters and chemical elements, and the age of the universe derived from the H_0 and density parameter

Concordance Cosmology, Circa 1985



 $H_o = 75 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$

Globular cluster ages, dynamical measurements of matter density, and H_0 , all consistent with the newly fashionable, flat (*k*=0) inflationary universe

(Djorgovski 1985, unpublished)

Today's Best Guess Universe

Age: $t_0 = 13.7 \pm 0.2 \text{ Gyr}$

Hubble constant: $H_0 = 71 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$

Density of ordinary matter:

 $\Omega_{baryon} = 0.04$

Density of all forms of matter:

 $\Omega_{matter}=0.27$

Cosmological constant:

 $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73$

Best fit CMB model - consistent with ages of oldest stars

> CMB + HST Key Project to measure Cepheid distances

CMB + comparison of nucleosynthesis with Lyman-a forest deuterium measurement

Cluster dark matter estimate CMB power spectrum

Supernova data, CMB evidence for a flat universe plus a low matter density

The Component Densities

at $z \sim 0$, in critical density units, assuming $h \approx 0.7$

Total matter/energy density: $\Omega_{0,tot} \approx 1.00$ From CMB, and consistent with SNe, LSS Matter density: $\Omega_{0.m} \approx 0.27$ From local dynamics and LSS, and consistent with SNe, CMB **Baryon density:** $\Omega_{0.b} \approx 0.05$ From cosmic nucleosynthesis, and independently from CMB Luminous baryon density: $\Omega_{0.lum} \approx 0.005$ From the census of luminous matter (stars, gas) Since: $\Omega_{0,tot} > \Omega_{0,m} > \Omega_{0,b} > \Omega_{0,lum}$ There is baryonic dark matter There is non-baryonic dark matter There is dark energy

Cosmological Tests Summary

- Tests of the global geometry and dynamics: correlate redshifts (~ scale factors) with some relative measure of distance (~ look back time); could use:
 - "standard candles" (for luminosity distances; e.g., SNe)
 - "standard rulers" (for angular diameter dist's; e.g., CMBR fluc's)
 - "standard abundances" (for volume-redshift test; e.g., rich clusters)
- Get matter density from local dynamics or LSS
- Combine with constraints from the H_0 , ages
- There are often parameter couplings and degeneracies, especially with the CMB alone
- Multiple approaches provide cross-checks, break degeneracies
- Concordance cosmology is now fairly well established

Next: The Early Universe