#### More About Turing Machines

"Programming Tricks" Restrictions Extensions Closure Properties

# Programming Trick: Multiple Tracks

Think of tape symbols as vectors with k components, each chosen from a finite alphabet.

- Makes the tape appear to have k tracks.
- Let input symbols be blank in all but one track.

#### Picture of Multiple Tracks



# **Programming Trick: Marking**

- A common use for an extra track is to mark certain positions.
- Almost all tape squares hold B (blank) in this track, but several hold special symbols (marks) that allow the TM to find particular places on the tape.



# Programming Trick: Caching in the State

The state can also be a vector.
First component is the "control state."
Other components hold data from a finite alphabet.

## **Example:** Using These Tricks

- This TM doesn't do anything terribly useful; it copies its input w infinitely.
   Control states:
  - q: Mark your position and remember the input symbol seen.
  - p: Run right, remembering the symbol and looking for a blank. Deposit symbol.
  - r: Run left, looking for the mark.

## Example – (2)

States have the form [x, Y], where x is q, p, or r and Y is 0, 1, or B.

Only p uses 0 and 1.

- Tape symbols have the form [U, V].
  - U is either X (the "mark") or B.
  - V is 0, 1 (the input symbols) or B.
  - [B, B] is the TM blank; [B, 0] and [B, 1] are the inputs.

#### The Transition Function

- Convention: a and b each stand for "either 0 or 1."
- $\delta([q,B], [B,a]) = ([p,a], [X,a], R).$ 
  - In state q, copy the input symbol under the head (i.e., a) into the state.
  - Mark the position read.
  - Go to state p and move right.

# Transition Function – (2)

 $\delta([p,a], [B,b]) = ([p,a], [B,b], R).$ 

- In state p, search right, looking for a blank symbol (not just B in the mark track).
- $\delta([p,a], [B,B]) = ([r,B], [B,a], L).$ 
  - When you find a B, replace it by the symbol (a) carried in the "cache."
  - Go to state r and move left.

# Transition Function – (3)

 $\delta([r,B], [B,a]) = ([r,B], [B,a], L).$ 

In state r, move left, looking for the mark.
 δ([r,B], [X,a]) = ([q,B], [B,a], R).

- When the mark is found, go to state q and move right.
- But remove the mark from where it was.
- q will place a new mark and the cycle repeats.



12





14





16





#### Semi-infinite Tape

- We can assume the TM never moves left from the initial position of the head.
- Let this position be 0; positions to the right are 1, 2, ... and positions to the left are -1, -2, ...
- New TM has two tracks.
  - Top holds positions 0, 1, 2, ...
  - Bottom holds a marker, positions -1, -2, ...

# Simulating Infinite Tape by Semi-infinite Tape

20



#### More Restrictions

Two stacks can simulate one tape.

 One holds positions to the left of the head; the other holds positions to the right.

In fact, by a clever construction, the two stacks to be *counters* = only two stack symbols, one of which can only appear at the bottom.

> Factoid: Invented by Pat Fischer, whose main claim to fame is that he was a victim of the Unabomber.

### Extensions

- More general than the standard TM.
   But still only able to define the RE languages.
  - 1. Multitape TM.
  - 2. Nondeterministic TM.
  - 3. Store for name-value pairs.

## Multitape Turing Machines

- Allow a TM to have k tapes for any fixed k.
- Move of the TM depends on the state and the symbols under the head for each tape.

In one move, the TM can change state, write symbols under each head, and move each head independently.

# Simulating k Tapes by One

Use 2k tracks.

Each tape of the k-tape machine is represented by a track.

The head position for each track is represented by a mark on an additional track.

### Picture of Multitape Simulation



#### Nondeterministic TM's



- Each choice is a state-symbol-direction triple, as for the deterministic TM.
- The TM accepts its input if any sequence of choices leads to an accepting state.

# Simulating a NTM by a DTM

- The DTM maintains on its tape a queue of ID's of the NTM.
- A second track is used to mark certain positions:
  - 1. A mark for the ID at the head of the queue.
  - 2. A mark to help copy the ID at the head and make a one-move change.



## **Operation of the Simulating DTM**

- The DTM finds the ID at the current front of the queue.
- It looks for the state in that ID so it can determine the moves permitted from that ID.
- If there are m possible moves, it creates m new ID's, one for each move, at the rear of the queue.

## Operation of the DTM – (2)

- The m new ID's are created one at a time.
- After all are created, the marker for the front of the queue is moved one ID toward the rear of the queue.
- However, if a created ID has an accepting state, the DTM instead accepts and halts.

## Why the NTM -> DTM Construction Works

There is an upper bound, say k, on the number of choices of move of the NTM for any state/symbol combination.

Thus, any ID reachable from the initial ID by n moves of the NTM will be constructed by the DTM after constructing at most (k<sup>n+1</sup>-k)/(k-1)ID's.

Sum of  $k + k^2 + \ldots + k^n$ 

# Why? – (2)

 If the NTM accepts, it does so in some sequence of n choices of move.

Thus the ID with an accepting state will be constructed by the DTM in some large number of its own moves.

 If the NTM does not accept, there is no way for the DTM to accept.

## Taking Advantage of Extensions

We now have a really good situation.

When we discuss construction of particular TM's that take other TM's as input, we can assume the input TM is as simple as possible.

E.g., one, semi-infinite tape, deterministic.

 But the simulating TM can have many tapes, be nondeterministic, etc.

# Simulating a Name-Value Store by a TM

- The TM uses one of several tapes to hold an arbitrarily large sequence of name-value pairs in the format #name\*value#...
- Mark, using a second track, the left end of the sequence.
- A second tape can hold a name whose value we want to look up.

# Lookup

Starting at the left end of the store, compare the lookup name with each name in the store.

When we find a match, take what follows between the \* and the next # as the value.

## Insertion

Suppose we want to insert name-value pair (n, v), or replace the current value associated with name n by v.

- Perform lookup for name n.
- If not found, add n\*v# at the end of the store.

## Insertion – (2)

- If we find #n\*v'#, we need to replace v' by v.
- If v is shorter than v', you can leave blanks to fill out the replacement.
- But if v is longer than v', you need to make room.

## Insertion – (3)

- Use a third tape to copy everything from the first tape to the right of v'.
- Mark the position of the \* to the left of v' before you do.
- On the first tape, write v just to the left of that star.
- Copy from the third tape to the first, leaving enough room for v.





Tape 3

# blah blah blah . . .



# Closure Properties of Recursive and RE Languages

- Both closed under union, concatenation, star, reversal, intersection, inverse homomorphism.
- Recursive closed under difference, complementation.
- RE closed under homomorphism.

# Union

Let L<sub>1</sub> = L(M<sub>1</sub>) and L<sub>2</sub> = L(M<sub>2</sub>).
Assume M<sub>1</sub> and M<sub>2</sub> are single-semiinfinite-tape TM's.
Construct 2-tape TM M to copy its input onto the second tape and simulate the two TM's M<sub>1</sub> and M<sub>2</sub> each on one of the two tapes, "in parallel."

# Union -(2)

- Recursive languages: If M<sub>1</sub> and M<sub>2</sub> are both algorithms, then M will always halt in both simulations.
- RE languages: accept if either accepts, but you may find both TM's run forever without halting or accepting.

#### Picture of Union/Recursive



#### Picture of Union/RE



#### Intersection/Recursive – Same Idea



### Intersection/RE



#### Difference, Complement

Recursive languages: both TM's will eventually halt.

• Accept if  $M_1$  accepts and  $M_2$  does not.

 Corollary: Recursive languages are closed under complementation.

RE Languages: can't do it; M<sub>2</sub> may never halt, so you can't be sure input is in the difference.

### Concatenation/RE

• Let  $L_1 = L(M_1)$  and  $L_2 = L(M_2)$ .



- Construct 2-tape Nondeterministic TM M:
  - 1. Guess a break in input w = xy.
  - 2. Move y to second tape.
  - 3. Simulate  $M_1$  on x,  $M_2$  on y.
  - 4. Accept if both accept.

### **Concatenation/Recursive**

Can't use a NTM.

- Systematically try each break w = xy.
- M<sub>1</sub> and M<sub>2</sub> will eventually halt for each break.
- Accept if both accept for any one break.
- Reject if all breaks tried and none lead to acceptance.

# Star

Same ideas work for each case.
 RE: guess many breaks, accept if M<sub>1</sub> accepts each piece.
 Recursive: systematically try all ways to break input into some number of pieces.

#### Reversal

Start by reversing the input.
 Then simulate TM for L to accept w if and only w<sup>R</sup> is in L.
 Works for either Recursive or RE languages.

#### Inverse Homomorphism

Apply h to input w.
Simulate TM for L on h(w).
Accept w iff h(w) is in L.
Works for Recursive or RE.

## Homomorphism/RE

• Let  $L = L(M_1)$ .

Design NTM M to take input w and guess an x such that h(x) = w.

M accepts whenever M<sub>1</sub> accepts x.

 Note: won't work for Recursive languages.