



Approximation Algorithms for NP-Complete Problems

A Dynamic Programming Heuristic for Knapsack

Algorithms: Design
and Analysis, Part II

Arbitrarily Good Approximation

Goal: for a user-specified parameter $\epsilon > 0$ (e.g., $\epsilon = .01$)
guarantee a $(1-\epsilon)$ -approximation.

Catch: running time will increase as ϵ decreases.
(i.e., algorithm exports a running time vs. accuracy trade-off).

[best-case scenario for NP-Complete problems]

The Approach: Rounding Item Values

High-level idea: exactly solve a slightly incorrect, but easier, knapsack instance.

Recall: if the w_i 's and w are integers, can solve the knapsack problem via dynamic programming in $O(nw)$ time.

Alternative: if v_i 's are integers, can solve knapsack via dynamic programming in $O(n^2 \cdot v_{\max})$ time, where $v_{\max} = \max_i v_i$.

Upshot: if all v_i 's are small integers (polynomial in n), then we already know a poly-time algorithm.

Plan: throw out lower-order bits of the v_i 's!

A Dynamic Programming Heuristic

Step 1 of algorithm

Round each v_i down to the nearest multiple of m

[where m depends on ϵ , exact value to be determined later]

Divide the results by m to get \tilde{v}_i 's (integers). (i.e., $\tilde{v}_i = \left\lfloor \frac{v_i}{m} \right\rfloor$)

Step 2 of algorithm

Use dynamic programming to solve the knapsack instance with values $\tilde{v}_1, \dots, \tilde{v}_n$, sizes w_1, \dots, w_n , capacity W .

Running time = $O(n^2 \cdot m \cdot \tilde{v}_i)$

Note: Computes a feasible solution to the original knapsack instance.

↑ larger m
→ throw out
more info
→ less accuracy