



Algorithms: Design
and Analysis, Part II

Approximation Algorithms for NP-Complete Problems

Analysis of a Greedy Knapsack Heuristic

Performance Guarantee

Theorem: value of the 3-step greedy algorithm's solution is always $\geq 56\%$ · value of an optimal solution.

Thought experiment: what if we were allowed to fill fully the knapsack using a suitable "fraction" (like 70%) of item $(k+1)$? [the value of which is "pro-rated"]

⇒ will call this
the "greedy
fractional solution"

Example: $W=3, v_1=3, v_2=2, w_1=w_2=2$
get 100% get 50%

⇒ greedy fractional soln has value 4

Quiz

Question: Let F = value of greedy fractional solution.
 OPT = value of optimal (non-fractional) solution.

Which of the following is true?

- (A) $F = \text{OPT}$ for every knapsack instance
- (B) $F > \text{OPT}$ for every knapsack instance
- (C) $F \leq \text{OPT}$ for every instance, and can be strict
- (D) $F \geq \text{OPT}$ for every instance, and can be strict

Proof Sketch

Claim: greedy fractional solution at least as good as every non-fractional feasible solution.



- ① Let S = an arbitrary feasible solution.
- ② Suppose l units of knapsack filled by S with items not packed by the greedy fractional solution.
- ③ Must be at least l units of knapsack filled by greedy fractional solution not packed by S
- ④ by greedy criterion, items in ③ have larger bang-per-buck $\frac{v_i}{w_i}$ than those in ② [i.e., more valuable use of space]
- ⑤ total value of greedy fractional solution at least that of S

Analysis of Greedy Heuristic

In Step 2, suppose our greedy algorithm picks the 1st k items (sorted by v_i/w_i). by Step 3

Value of 3-step greedy algorithm \geq total value of 1st k items
also is \geq value of $(k+1)^{\text{th}}$ item

$\Rightarrow 2 \times (\text{value of 3-step greedy}) \geq \text{total value of 1st } (k+1) \text{ items}$
 $\geq \text{total value of greedy fractional soln}$
 $\geq \text{optimal Knapsack Solution}$

QED!

Analysis Is Tight

Example: $\omega = 1000$

$$\begin{array}{ll} v_1 = 502 & v_2 = v_3 = 500 \\ w_1 = 501 & w_2 = w_3 = 500 \end{array}$$

\Rightarrow 3-step greedy solution has value 502

\Rightarrow optimal solution has value 1000

A Refined Analysis

Suppose: every item i has size $w_i \leq 10\%$ knapsack capacity W .

Consequence: if greedy algorithm fails to pack all items in Step 2, then the knapsack is $\geq 90\%$ full.

\Rightarrow value of 2-step greedy algorithm $\geq 90\% \cdot$ value of
greedy fractional soln

$\geq 90\% \cdot$ value of an
optimal solution

{in general, if $\max w_i \leq \delta W$,
then 2-step greedy value is $\geq (1-\delta) \cdot$ optimal}