



Design and Analysis
of Algorithms I

Linear-Time Selection

An $\Omega(n \log n)$
Sorting Lower Bound

A Sorting Lower Bound

Theorem: every "comparison-based" sorting algorithm has worst-case running time $\Omega(n \log n)$.
[assume deterministic, but lower bound extends to randomized]

Comparison-Based Sort: accesses input array elements only via comparisons.
 \approx "general-purpose sorting method"

Examples: MergeSort, QuickSort, HeapSort

Non-Examples: BucketSort, CountingSort, RadixSort

good for data from distributions
good for small integers
good for medium-size integers

Proof Idea

Fix a comparison-based sorting method and an array length n .

\Rightarrow consider input arrays containing $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ in some order. $\Rightarrow n!$ such inputs

Suppose algorithm always makes $\leq k$ comparisons to correctly sort these $n!$ inputs.

\Rightarrow across all $n!$ possible inputs, algorithm exhibits $\leq 2^k$ distinct executions — ie., resolution of the comparisons

Proof Idea (con'd)

By the Pigeonhole Principle: if $2^k < n!$, execute identically on two distinct inputs \Rightarrow must get one of them incorrect.

So: Since method is correct, $2^k \geq n!$
 $\geq \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}$

$$\Rightarrow k \geq \frac{n}{2} \log_2 \frac{n}{2} = \Omega(n \log n)$$

QED!